

Hornsea Project Four

F2.7: Outline Marine Monitoring Plan

Deadline: 7, Date: 10 August 2022

Document Reference: F2.7

Revision: 02

PreparedGoBe Consultants Ltd. August 2022CheckedGoBe Consultants Ltd. August 2022AcceptedDavid King, Orsted. August 2022ApprovedJulian Carolan, Orsted. August 2022

F2.7 Version B



Revision Summary					
Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by	
01	29/09/2021	GoBe Consultants Ltd.	David King, Orsted	Julian Carolan, Orsted	
02	10/08/2022	GoBe Consultants Ltd.	David King, Orsted	Julian Carolan, Orsted	

Revision Change Log				
Rev	Page	Section	Description	
01	N/A	N/A	Document submitted at application.	
02	Throughout	3.3	Monitoring proposals related to Smithic Bank, Flamborough Front	
	Section 3.3		and drilling mounds added.	
02	Throughout	3.4	Monitoring proposals related to potential sediment resampling, drill	
	Section 3.4		mounds, benthic communities and marine non-native invasive	
			species added.	



Table of Contents

1	Introdu	ıction	7
	1.1	Overview of the Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP)	7
2	Genero	al Principles and Guidance	8
	2.1	Guidance	8
	2.2	Commitments and Mitigation	8
	2.3	Principles	9
	2.4	Consultation	10
3	Outline	e Proposals for Monitoring	11
	3.1	Approach	11
	3.2	Engineering and Design Related Studies	11
	3.3	Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes	13
	3.3.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	13
	3.3.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	13
	3.4	Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology	18
	3.4.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	18
	3.4.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	18
	3.5	Fish and Shellfish Ecology	21
	3.5.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	21
	3.5.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	21
	3.6	Marine Mammals	22
	3.6.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	22
	3.6.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	22
	3.7	Offshore Ornithology	23
	3.7.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	23
	3.7.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	24
	3.8	Commercial Fisheries	25
	3.8.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	25
	3.8.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	25
	3.9	Shipping and Navigation	26
	3.9.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	26
	3.9.2	In-principle Monitoring Proposals	26
	3.10	Marine Archaeology	27
	3.10.1	Conclusions of the Environmental Statement	27



	3.10.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals	27
4	References	30

List of Tables

Table 1: Anticipated review and revision process for the OMMP	1C
Table 2: Indicative engineering and design studies	
Table 3: Outline monitoring – marine processes	
Table 4: Relevant monitoring benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology commitments	18
Table 5: Outline monitoring – benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology	
Table 6: Outline monitoring – fish and shellfish ecology	
Table 7: Outline monitoring – marine mammals.	
Table 8: Outline monitoring – offshore ornithology	
Table 9: Outline monitoring – shipping and navigation	
Table 10: Relevant marine archaeology commitments	
Table 11: Outline monitorina – marine archaeology.	



Glossary

Term	Definition
Environmental Impact	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed
Assessment (EIA)	before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection
	and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment
	requirements of the EIA Directive and EIA Regulations, including the
	publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Hornsea Project Four	The term covers all elements of the project (i.e. both the offshore and
Offshore Wind Farm	onshore). Hornsea Four infrastructure will include offshore generating
	stations (wind turbines), electrical export cables to landfall, and connection
	to the electricity transmission network. Hereafter referred to as Hornsea
	Four.
Mean High Water Spring	The height of MHWS is the average throughout the year (when the average
(MHWS)	maximum declination of the moon is 23.5°) of two successive high waters
	during those periods of 24 hours when the range of the tide is at its greatest.
Mitigation	A term used interchangeably with Commitment(s) by Hornsea Four.
	Mitigation measures (Commitments) are embedded within the assessment at
	the relevant point in the EIA (e.g. at Scoping, Preliminary Environmental
	Information Report (PEIR), or ES).
National Policy Statement	A document setting out national policy against which proposals for
(NPS)	Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs) will be assessed and
	decided upon.
Orsted Hornsea Project Four	The Applicant for the proposed Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm
Ltd	Development Consent Order (DCO).

Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
ADCP	Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler	
AEol	Adverse Effect on Integrity	
AfL	Agreement for Lease	
AIS	Automatic Identification System	
Cefas	Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science	
CfD	Contracts for Difference	
CPT	Cone Penetration Test	
DCO	Development Consent Order	
DDV	Drop Down Video	
DEPONS	Disturbance Effects on the Harbour Porpoise Population in the North Sea	
DML	Deemed Marine Licence	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
ES	Environmental Statement	
FID	Final Investment Decision	
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling	
LSE	Likely Significant Effects	
MBES	Multibeam Echosounder	



Acronym	Definition	
MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	
MGN	Marine Guidance Note	
MHWS	Mean High Water Springs	
MLWS	Mean Low Water Springs	
MMMP	Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol	
MMO	Marine Management Organisation	
NPS	National Policy Statement	
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment	
OMMP	Outline Monitoring Plan	
OOMP	Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan	
OSS	Offshore Substation	
PAD	Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries	
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report	
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle	
SAC	Special Area of Conservation	
SBP	Sub-Bottom Profiler	
SIP	Site Integrity Plan	
SPA	Special Protection Area	
SSS	Side Scan Sonar	
UXO	Unexploded Ordnance	
WSI	Witten Scheme of Investigation	
WTG	Wind Turbine Generator	

Units

Unit	Definition
km	Kilometre



1 Introduction

1.1 Overview of the Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP)

- 1.1.1.1 Orsted Hornsea Project Four Limited (hereafter the 'Applicant') is proposing to develop the Hornsea Project Four Offshore Wind Farm (hereafter 'Hornsea Four') which will be located approximately 69 km from the East Riding of Yorkshire in the Southern North Sea and will be the fourth project to be developed in the former Hornsea Zone. Hornsea Four will include both offshore and onshore infrastructure including an offshore generating station (wind farm), export cables to landfall, and connection to the electricity transmission network (see Volume A1, Chapter 4: Project Description for full details on the Project Design).
- 1.1.2 The Hornsea Four Agreement for Lease (AfL) area was 846 km² at the Scoping phase of project development. In the spirit of keeping with Hornsea Four's approach to Proportionate Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), the project has given due consideration to the size and location (within the existing AfL area) of the final project that is being taken forward to Development Consent Order (DCO) application. This consideration is captured internally as the "Developable Area Process", which includes Physical, Biological and Human constraints in refining the developable area, balancing consenting and commercial considerations with technical feasibility for construction.
- 1.1.1.3 The combination of Hornsea Four's Proportionality in EIA and Developable Area process has resulted in a marked reduction in the array area taken forward at the point of DCO application. Hornsea Four adopted a major site reduction from the array area presented at Scoping (846 km²) to the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) boundary (600 km²), with a further reduction adopted for the Environmental Statement (ES) and DCO application (468 km²) due to the results of the PEIR, technical considerations and stakeholder feedback. The evolution of the Hornsea Four Order Limits is detailed in Volume A1, Chapter 3: Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives and Volume A4, Annex 3.2: Selection and Refinement of the Offshore Infrastructure.
- 1.1.1.4 Hornsea Four has produced this Outline Marine Monitoring Plan (OMMP) in order to outline the proposed approach and objectives of any monitoring required by conditions of the Deemed Marine Licences (DMLs) prior to the granting of development consent. In doing so, it is the intention that this will enable all relevant parties to have clarity on the rationale associated with relevant monitoring requirements and focus from the outset and provide greater certainty on the limitations and deliverability of any monitoring. It is important to note that this OMMP relates to EIA-related monitoring only. Any monitoring related to the potential compensation associated with a Regulation 64 derogation under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations will be considered separately.
- 1.1.1.5 It is the intention of the Applicant to consult on this OMMP with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and its scientific advisors (the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas)), and its statutory nature conservation advisor (Natural England) prior to the completion of the examination phase of the DCO application. This document therefore represents an outline plan intended to form the basis of discussion during the pre-and post-application phases and the examination phase of the DCO application.
- 1.1.1.6 The OMMP sets out the outline monitoring proposals for the offshore environment only, encompassing the DMLs for both the generation assets, which is contained in Schedule 11 of C1.1 Draft Development Consent Order, and transmission assets, which is contained in



Schedule 12 of **C1.1 Draft DCO**). For the purposes of this OMMP, 'offshore' refers to areas seaward of Mean High-Water Springs (MHWS).

- 1.1.1.7 The primary aims of this document are to:
 - Identify relevant offshore monitoring as required by the conditions of the draft DMLs;
 - Establish the objectives of such monitoring, noting the limitations and deliverability of any monitoring; and
 - Set out the guiding principles and framework for delivering any monitoring measures as secured by the conditions within the draft DMLs.
- 1.1.1.8 It is intended that this document will provide the basis for further discussions with the MMO and the relevant statutory advisors to agree the exact detail (timings, methodologies etc.) of any offshore monitoring that is required by the conditions of the DMLs during the post-consent phase. It should be noted that the final detailed plans for monitoring work will not be produced until closer to the time that the actual works will be undertaken (following detailed scheme design). These final monitoring plans, in turn, will subsequently be provided for approval by the MMO (as required by the conditions of the draft DMLs), in consultation where necessary with their statutory advisors, in order to discharge the conditions of the corresponding final DML.

2 General Principles and Guidance

2.1 Guidance

- 2.1.1.1 There are a number of guidance documents and reviews to draw on when considering overarching principles in marine environmental monitoring. Of particular relevance to offshore wind farms is the independent review of post-consent environmental monitoring data undertaken by Fugro EMU Ltd on behalf of the MMO (MMO 2014a) and the MMO's subsequent recommendations (MMO 2014b) see Section 2.3 for further detail on the recommendations.
- 2.1.1.2 The MMO (2014b) note that the purpose of monitoring requirements that are incorporated into licence conditions are to:
- a) Validate, or reduce uncertainty in predictions on environmental impacts recorded in supporting FIAs.
- b) Provide evidence on the effectiveness of mitigation measures; and
- c) Allow identification of any unforeseen impacts.

2.2 Commitments and Mitigation

2.2.1.1 Hornsea Four has adopted commitments (primary design principles inherent as part of Hornsea Four, installation techniques and engineering designs/modifications) as part of the preapplication phase, to eliminate and/or reduce the Likely Significant Effects (LSEs) arising from a number of potential impacts. Further commitments (adoption of best practice guidance), referred to as tertiary commitments are embedded as an inherent aspect of the EIA process. Secondary commitments are incorporated to reduce LSE, to environmentally acceptable levels following initial assessment i.e. so that residual effects are reduced, so far as possible, to environmentally acceptable levels. These commitments are outlined in Volume A4, Annex 5.2 Commitments Register.



2.2.1.2 Options for monitoring are appropriate to consider where significant residual effects (following mitigation) have been identified through the EIA process, or where there is a significant degree of uncertainty in the assessment conclusions relating to a particularly sensitive feature.

2.3 Principles

- 2.3.1.1 The guiding principles which apply to the outline monitoring approaches in this document are as follows:
 - All consent conditions (including those for monitoring) should be "necessary, relevant to
 planning and to the development to be permitted, enforceable, precise and reasonable in all
 other respects" (set out in paragraph 56 of the National Planning Policy Framework, Ministry
 of Housing, Communities and Local Government 2021;
 - Monitoring should have a clear purpose and be designed to provide answers to specific
 questions where significant environmental impacts have been identified (Cefas 2012; Glasson
 et al. 2011; OSPAR 2008). As such (and in-line with the MMO's recommendations for targeted
 monitoring (MMO 2014b)), monitoring proposals should have an identified frequency (and/ or
 duration) and confirmed outputs, which provide statistically robust datasets designed to
 address the hypothesis being tested;
 - The presence of a significant effect identified in the EIA (whilst necessitating mitigation) should not, in itself, necessarily lead to a requirement for monitoring. Monitoring should address significant evidence gaps or uncertainty relevant to Hornsea Four, where it is realistic for those gaps to be filled or uncertainty reduced significantly. Monitoring should also be targeted at those features considered to be particularly sensitive to the impacts of the development, especially where these features are of economic or environmental importance. MMO (2014b) advise that the greatest focus should be placed on impacts of concern for which the highest uncertainty remains. Such targeted monitoring is more likely to answer key uncertainties than broad scale / generic monitoring approaches;
 - Proposals for monitoring should be based, where relevant, on the best practice and outcomes
 of the latest review of environmental data (i.e. best available evidence) associated with postconsent monitoring of licence conditions of offshore wind farms (MMO 2014b);
 - An iterative approach should be taken whereby the scope and design of any new monitoring
 work should be based on a review of the findings of any preceding phases of monitoring or
 relevant survey work, including surveys carried out in support of the EIA for Hornsea Four. It is
 acknowledged that the MMO may require amendments to individual monitoring
 programmes if the evidence indicates the existing monitoring programme is not fit for purpose
 and/or impacts are not as predicted;
 - Where site-specific monitoring is undertaken pre- and post-construction it may be relevant to consider undertaking monitoring over non-consecutive years (for example post construction monitoring at years one, three and five following completion, or years one, five and ten) to explore the potential for longer term trends; and
 - Under certain circumstances for addressing specific uncertainties it may be more appropriate
 to adopt a strategic approach to the monitoring (for example the strategic programme of
 tracking of kittiwake and gannet at the Flamborough and Filey Coast Special Protection Area
 (SPA) through the Flamborough and Filey Coast Seabird Monitoring Group, or the
 consequence of harbour porpoise disturbance that Disturbance Effects on the Harbour
 Porpoise Population in the North Sea (DEPONS¹) is addressing). Strategic work (potentially
 outside the boundary of Hornsea Four) may be considered where contributing to the

¹ DEPONS is a collaborative project between industry and academia to enhance the knowledge of the consequence of disturbance to harbour porpoise when exposed to underwater noise.



answering of a broader question (that is still linked to the relevant Hornsea Four receptors) is likely to offer a greater ability to address key questions than any site-specific monitoring may achieve. Such strategic work may need to be de-coupled from any specific phase of the development (i.e. not specifically related to a comparison between pre-construction and post-construction data).

2.4 Consultation

- 2.4.1.1 Consultation with statutory consultees, including Natural England and the MMO, is fundamental to agreeing that the monitoring adopted for Hornsea Four is proportionate, effective and secured. As previously described, this document is intended to form a framework for engagement going forward following the submission of the Hornsea Four DCO Application and during the Examination phase.
- 2.4.1.2 The exact dates for agreement and refinement of the OMMP cannot be determined at this stage since this relies on detailed consent, procurement and construction timescales; however, key milestones have been outlined in Table 1 to signpost the likely development of the OMMP from the point of the DCO Application through to the start of offshore construction.

Table 1: Anticipated review and revision process for the OMMP.

Development Stage	Indicative	Applicant Actions	Relevant Statutory
Pre-application review of the OMMP by the MMO and Natural England	January 2021	Provide consultees with OMMP prior to DCO Application submission. A follow up meeting was held to discuss comments in August 2021.	Authority/Advisor(s) MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), in consultation with Natural England and The Wildlife Trusts.
Post-application review of the OMMP through Relevant Representations and DCO Examination	Q4 2021 – Q4 2022	Review OMMP and identify (where necessary) any areas for revisions/updates.	The Examining Authority. Consultation with Natural England, MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), and any other relevant interested parties.
Consent decision and Appropriate Assessment	Q1-Q2 2023	Review final DCO requirements relating to monitoring.	N/A
Design optimisation	Pre- construction	Review the Outline OMMP and agreed monitoring approaches in light of the refined project design information and scheduling, taking into account any refinements that may be required as a result of the confirmation of design details.	N/A
First draft of the final monitoring plan (or plans)	Following Contracts for Difference (CfD) award/Final Investment Decision (FID)	Based on the final design optimisation, the Applicant will draft the final monitoring plan (or plans) and submit to the MMO for approval.	MMO and its scientific advisors (Cefas), in consultation with Natural England.



Development Stage	Indicative	Applicant Actions	Relevant Statutory
	Date(s)		Authority/Advisor(s)
Finalisation and sign-	Prior to	Update monitoring detail having regard to	MMO to approve the final
off of the final	commencement	consultee comments.	monitoring plan (or plans).
monitoring plan (or	of the relevant		
plans)	licensed		
	activities		

3 Outline Proposals for Monitoring

3.1 Approach

- 3.1.1.1 The following sections set out the outline monitoring proposals for implementing the DML conditions related to monitoring for Hornsea Four, grouped by topic. For each topic where monitoring is proposed, a table is presented which details the potential effects (alongside the Impact ID that is used in Volume A4, Annex 5.1: Impacts Register and within each topic-specific ES chapter) and relevant receptor(s) for which monitoring is considered necessary. Links are also provided to the relevant DML conditions that set out monitoring requirements (C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML) and, where relevant, requirements for submission of related plans.
- 3.1.1.2 A draft version of this document was submitted to consultees prior to DCO Application submission, with comments received from consultees and updates made to the OMMP based on the feedback received.
- 3.1.1.3 This document outlines the rationale behind the proposed monitoring, with a view to providing a common understanding of the aims, objectives and approaches to guide the drafting of the final detailed monitoring plans for approval by the MMO in the post-consent phase.
- 3.1.1.4 Following an iterative approach, it should be recognised that increased knowledge and understanding based on survey outcomes, but also the final detailed design of Hornsea Four, may influence the detailed design of the subsequent monitoring work. The detailed focus, requirements and methodologies for future monitoring for Hornsea Four may therefore differ, to some extent, from the outline approach presented in this document. Any such future modifications to monitoring approaches will be the subject of consultation between the Applicant, the MMO and Cefas. The MMO has the ability to vary the DML conditions in this regard, in consultation with the Applicant.

3.2 Engineering and Design Related Studies

3.2.1.1 In addition to the environmental survey and monitoring programmes required by the conditions set out in C1.1 Draft DCO, additional studies will be undertaken for engineering and design purposes. Some of these surveys, whilst not requirements of the DMLs, can inform specific environmental monitoring requirements where relevant. An indicative list of the engineering and design related studies considered likely to be carried out, and relevant to environmental monitoring requirements, are set out in Table 2.



Table 2: Indicative engineering and design studies.

Study and	Description	Link to environmental monitoring
purpose		monitoring
Pre-construction		Carabanian and
Site investigation	Geophysical and geotechnical surveys to inform aspects including: • Wind Turbine Generator (WTG) and Offshore Substation (OSS)	Geophysical and
for final		geotechnical survey
	foundation design and siting;	outputs may inform
scheme design and site	Cable crossing design; Legispated Dispetional Dvill (UDD) design and siting:	marine processes, benthic,
preparation	 Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) design and siting; Cable design, burial and protection plans and siting; 	shipping and navigation and archaeological
preparation	Scour protection requirements;	monitoring and mitigation
	Boulder clearance requirements;	Geotechnical survey
	Sandwave clearance requirements; and	outputs will inform the
	Initial Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) clearance requirements.	archaeological monitoring
	Details of the final project design will be provided within the relevant pre-	and mitigation.
	construction plans, including the Scour Protection Management Plan (draft	ana miagadon.
	DCO Schedule 11, Part 2 – Condition 13(1)(e) and Schedule 12, Part 2 –	
	Condition 13 (1)(e)) and the Offshore Cable Installation Plan (draft DCO	
	Schedule 11, Part 2 – Condition 13(1)(h) and Schedule 12, Part 2 –	
	Condition 13(1)(h).	
	Geophysical survey techniques may include use of high-resolution Side	
	Scan Sonar (SSS), Multibeam Echosounder (MBES), magnetometer, Sub-	
	Bottom Profiler (SBP), and Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV).	
	Geotechnical survey techniques may include use of boreholes, Cone	
	Penetration Tests (CPTs), vibro-cores, acoustic corers and grab samples.	
	Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity is	
	proposed plus appropriate buffers to inform any micro-siting requirements.	
Construction st	udies	
Footprint	Studies required to ensure the safe placement of jack-up vessel legs on the	Geophysical and
surveys	seabed during construction. Techniques may include:	geotechnical survey
	Geophysical surveys using high resolution SSS, MBES, and ROV techniques.	outputs may inform
	Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity is	benthic and
	proposed.	archaeological monitoring
		and mitigation.
Post-construction	on studies	T
As-built	Geophysical surveys (techniques as described under pre-construction	Geophysical and
surveys	phase) to confirm:	geotechnical survey
	Cable burial success;	outputs may inform
	Adequate protection of buried assets, foundations and crossings; and	benthic and
	Presence of any dropped objects.	archaeological monitoring
	Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity has	and mitigation.
Operation and	taken place. maintenance studies	
Asset	Periodic geophysical surveys to ensure that assets remain suitably buried	Geophysical and
protection	and or protected and where necessary, inform the need for any remedial	geotechnical survey
Protection	measures (re-burial / further protection etc).	outputs may inform
studies	i measares ne panati rartnet protection etcj.	
studies		
studies	Techniques will be as described under pre-construction phase. The extent of surveys will be informed by the level of risks associated with	benthic and archaeological monitoring



Study and purpose	Description	Link to environmental monitoring
Footprint surveys	Studies required to ensure the safe placement of jack-up vessel legs on the seabed during any maintenance activity. Techniques will be as set out under the construction phase. Survey extents will cover the areas within which construction activity using jack-up vessels is proposed.	Geophysical and geotechnical survey outputs may inform benthic and archaeological monitoring and mitigation.

3.3 Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes

3.3.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.3.1.1 Changes to marine processes have the potential to indirectly impact other environmental receptors. For example, the creation of sediment plumes may lead to settling of material onto benthic habitats. Similarly, scour around foundations may lead to a loss of, or modification to, seabed habitat.
- 3.3.1.2 Whilst marine processes can largely be considered pathways for effects, some features have been identified as potentially sensitive marine processes receptors, such as offshore sandbanks including the Smithic Bank. All of the assessments of the potential impacts of Hornsea Four set out in Volume A2, Chapter 1: Marine Geology, Oceanography and Physical Processes were concluded to be likely to result in effects of negligible or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms). This is, in part, due to the commitments made as described in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register and the present assumptions in the assessment being considered to offer a conservative assessment to offset uncertainties.

3.3.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.3.2.1 When taking account of the precautionary approach to assessment, there are considered to be no significant uncertainties in the assessment conclusions and therefore no monitoring requirements specifically related to marine processes have been identified, beyond the standard geophysical surveys which are outlined within Table 2. These surveys will inform a wide range of engineering elements relevant to the marine processes assessment, including changes in seabed topography and scour around foundations. Where these surveys are being undertaken as part of the standard pre-construction geophysical monitoring campaign, the specification of the surveys will be agreed with the MMO and its advisors during consultation in the post-consent phase.
- 3.3.2.2 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, following requests from the MMO, Natural England and Cefas, the Applicant has committed to monitoring as set out in Table 3 in relation to marine processes. It is anticipated that the methodologies for marine processes monitoring will follow established guidance on survey design and data interpretation and will be planned in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.



Table 3: Outline monitoring – marine processes.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-construc	ction monitoring			
MP-O-1	Smithic Bank	Location: From the Holderness Coast (Mean Low Water Springs (MLWS)), across Smithic Bank and	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To provide a baseline
MP-O-3		onto the Dogger Bank A & B Cable Crossing.	Draft DCO including	of the Smithic Bank
MP-O-4		Pre-construction survey across the width of all export cables, plus 10% buffer either side to help	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	and the Dogger Bank A
		determine any up- or down-drift issues.	Documents to be	& B cable crossing.
		Survey type: High-resolution multi-beam bathymetry.	Certified (the outline	
		Reviews: Pre-construction survey reviewed to validate the baseline Smithic Bank and Dogger Bank	marine monitoring plan).	
		A & B cable crossing).		
Post-constru	ıction monitoring			
MP-O-1	Smithic Bank	Location: From the Holderness Coast (MLWS), across Smithic Bank and onto the Dogger Bank A & B	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To record any
MP-O-3		Cable Crossing.	Draft DCO including	potential changes to
MP-O-4		Surveys every six months for the first three years (asset crossing), requirement for further surveys	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	Smithic Bank arising
		reviewed thereafter.	Documents to be	from the construction
		Survey at the width across all export cables, plus 10% buffer either side to help determine any up-	Certified (the outline	of Hornsea Four.
		or down-drift issues.	marine monitoring plan).	
		Survey type: High-resolution multi-beam bathymetry.		
		Post-construction surveys are reviewed against pre-construction survey to determine any change.		
		Reviews reported annually to MMO. Any notable changes will need to consider natural variability		
		(such as seabed response to metocean events) and potential influences due to installed structures.		
MP-O-2	Flamborough	Near-Field Monitoring	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To determine the scale
MP-O-3	Front	Location: In the lee wake of three GBS foundations (if used) across Hornsea Four array, notionally;	Draft DCO including	and intensity of near-
		one WTG-GBS, one Box-type GBS Large (150 m width), and one Box-type GBS Small (75 m width).	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	field wake-related
		Single survey, ideally during spring tides, to coincide with times of peak flood or ebb flow (maximum	Documents to be	effects from GBS
		wake effect) during a period of summer stratification.	Certified (the outline	foundations and
		Survey type: Towed thermistor chain (comparable to the field surveys conducted by Schultze et al,	marine monitoring plan).	ascertain the need for
		(2020) and Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP). Transects across observed wake at 100 m		far-field monitoring.
		intervals downstream of each foundation to a maximum of 1,000 m.		
		Data to be reported as an industry publication to on the scale and intensity of wake related effects		
		from larger foundation types (GBS only) (determined by towed ADCP) and the consequence of		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
			monitoring	
		increased turbulent mixing (maximum of 500m from foundation centre) within the wake on vertical		
		thermal stratification (determined by thermistor chain).		
		If Hornsea Four adopts any other consented foundations rather than GBS then this monitoring		
		requirement does not apply.		
		Interventions: Not applicable. Should turbulent wakes exceed those predicted in the EIA, this will		
		trigger far-field (array-scale) monitoring.		
		The near-field survey will be planned to ensure that survey objectives are met and activated when		
		specific environmental criteria occur, notably the presence of stratification across the offshore array		
		area, as determined from Sentinel 3 reconnaissance surveys. The following details provide an outline		
		plan:		
		The survey will occur during the summer period when seasonal stratification has fully developed		
		and the Flamborough Front has formed (peak front formation is July).		
		The survey will only be activated when the alignment of the Flamborough Front is either across		
		or south of the offshore array area. If the front remains to the north then no stratification be will		
		present within the offshore array area and the survey will remain pending.		
		The location of the front will be determined by suitable satellite observations of sea surface		
		temperature (e.g. Sentinel 3) which are available in near real-time. A monthly summary of the		
		location of the front will be developed for the summer period. If the front is consistently found		
		to be north of the offshore array area after three consecutive summer periods then the near-		
		field survey will no longer be required and all associated obligations related to conducting this		
		survey will be considered as fully met.		
		• If the front has developed within or to the south of the offshore array area (as indicated by		
		available satellite data) then the survey contractor will be notified to mobilise to site. At the		
		same time Natural England, MMO and Cefas will be notified of the intent to conduct the near-		
		field survey based on meeting the relevant environmental criteria.		
		The target locations for survey relate to specific GBS sites which reside within the area		
		considered to be experiencing stratification. Potential targets will be considered once a final		
		layout has been established to aid survey planning.		
		The survey will be conducted during daylight hours at times of peak flows (either ebb or flood).		
		tide) to determine the extent of any wakes stemming from GBS foundations. The survey will not		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
			monitoring	
		be restricted to spring tides or periods of low cloud cover, although these conditions are		
		preferred to establish maximum extents of wakes and to synchronise with a satellite over-pass.		
MP-O-2	Flamborough	Far-Field Monitoring	Schedule 15 of C1.1	To determine the scal
MP-O-3	Front	Far-field monitoring would only be required should the near-field monitoring confirm turbulent	Draft DCO including	and intensity of far-
		wakes in exceedance of those predicted in the EIA.	Draft DML, Part 3, Other	field wake-related
		Location: The Hornsea Four array area to establish any array scale effects.	Documents to be	effects from GBS
		Survey type:	Certified (the outline	foundations.
		• Part 1: Evaluation of relevant satellite images that represent sea surface temperature, e.g. Sentinel 3.	marine monitoring plan).	
		• Part 2: Evaluation of relevant satellite images that represent chlorophyll concentrations, e.g. Sentinel 3.		
		N.B: Satellite image resolution is 1,000 m for sea surface temperature and 300 m for chlorophyll		
		which limits this approach to discerning array scale effects rather than individual wake effects.		
		Survey frequency: Sentinel 3 already has a data bank that will cover the pre-construction period.		
		Initial interest in post-construction period and timed with the near-field survey. Overpass tracks from		
		Sentinel 3 repeat every 27 days but the large swath widths of 1,270 km enable images to be		
		available every 1.4 days (Sentinel 3A and 3B). Images only provide useable data where there is no		
		cloud cover. Bi-monthly composite images for an initial period of 12 months to represent seasonal		
		variations.		
		Reviews:		
		• Part 1: The far-field hypothesis requires a net reduction in sea surface temperature to be		
		detectable across the Hornsea Four array area relative to the temperature of undisturbed		
		surrounding water. A provisional reduction of 2°C relative to the average temperature of		
		surrounding water is proposed (the level of temperature reduction during seasonal stratification		
		needs to be confirmed with consideration to natural variations from pre-construction periods		
		and the magnitude of the thermocline as established from available 3D modelling) (phase 1)		
		Part 2: If there is a detectable change in water temperature above the ambient background for		
		an attributable effect at the array scale (Part 1) then the analysis will extend to examining		
		chlorophyll concentrations as a proxy for influences on primary production (Part 2). A standalone		
		report will be prepared covering a pre-construction baseline characterisation (1 year),		
		construction (1 years) and a post-construction/operational (1 year) comparison.		



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		This work is planned to tie in with the requirements for near-field surveys. If near-field surveys confirm the localised extent of wakes has no discernible temperature reduction which develop as cold water plumes then the far-field effect monitoring is not required. Present assumptions linked to the availability of Sentinel 3 satellite images. It is acknowledged that this subject may be a subject of proposals to the ECOWind programme and will provide evidence if progressed.		
N/A	Drill mounds	Should drilling be utilised to install piled foundations, the Applicant will make best endeavours to ensure no drill mounds (i.e. sediment arising from the drilling of Hornsea Four foundations) persists above 3m from the surrounding seabed. In the event that such drill mounds greater than 3m are found to persist, an appropriate monitoring campaign will be developed in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML, Part 3, Other Documents to be Certified (the outline marine monitoring plan).	To monitor the persistence of drill mounds on the seabed.



3.4 Benthic Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology

3.4.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.4.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology are set out in Volume A2, Chapter 2: Benthic and Intertidal Ecology, including those related to temporary habitat loss and disturbance and the long-term presence of the infrastructure on the seabed. It was concluded that, for all of the potential impacts considered, resulting effects will be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties arising.
- 3.4.1.2 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that there are commitments (as an embedded mitigation measure) to avoid priority habitats under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2008), such as potential biogenic or geogenic reef, through micro-siting cables and foundations. The relevant commitments (related to monitoring only) are outlined in Table 4, with full details provided in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register.

Table 4: Relevant monitoring benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology commitments.

Commitment ID	Measure Proposed	How the measure will be secured
Co48	Primary: Habitats of principal importance (Section 41 of the 2006 Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act) will be avoided where possible, informed through the undertaking of survey works pre-construction.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets - Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a) (Pre-construction plans and documentation)
Co84	Primary: Presence of habitats of principal importance (Section 41 of the 2006 Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act) will be identified through a review of the latest available benthic datasets and pre-construction surveys. Foundations and cables will be micro-sited around habitats of principal importance wherever reasonably practicable (subject to agreement with the MMO) to an extent not resulting in a hazard for marine traffic and Search & Rescue capability.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets - Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a)(v); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(1)(a)(v) (Pre-construction plans and documentation)

3.4.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.4.2.1 Table 5 provides information on the outline monitoring proposed for benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology for Hornsea Four. It is anticipated that the methodologies for benthic ecology monitoring will follow established guidance on survey design and data interpretation and will be planned in consultation with the MMO and its statutory advisors.



Table 5: Outline monitoring – benthic subtidal and intertidal ecology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Dro-constru	l ction monitoring		monitoring	
BIE-C-1	Potential	Full sea floor coverage swath	Schedule 11, Deemed	Ensure in so far as possible that
BIE-C-3	habitats of	bathymetry survey within the	Marine Licence Under The	any areas of habitats of
DIL-C-J	principle	areas within which construction	2009 Act – Generation	principle importance (Section 4
			Assets – Part 2, Condition	of the NERC Act) are avoided
	importance (Section 41	works are proposed to determine the location, extent	17(2)(a); and	,
	of the	and composition of any	Schedule 12, Deemed	(where reasonably practicable) from direct disturbance by
	NERC Act)		Marine Licence Under The	· ·
	NERC ACI	potential habitats of principle importance (Section 41 of the	2009 Act – Transmission	construction activity.
		NERC Act) including biogenic or	Assets – Part 2, Condition	
		geogenic reef features (as	17(2)(a)	
		defined by Irving (2009) and	(Pre-construction	
		Gubbay (2007) and in Table D1	monitoring and surveys)	
		of Appendix D of Volume A5,	monitoring and surveys)	
		Annex 2.1: Benthic Subtidal		
		and Intertidal Ecology		
		Technical Report).		
		Targeted Drop-Down Video		
		(DDV) survey to confirm the		
		presence, nature and extent of		
		any potential habitats of		
		principle importance (Section		
		41 of the NERC Act) features		
		identified in the pre-		
		construction geophysical data.		
		Benthic DDV surveys may be		
		undertaken up to 12 months		
		prior to the commencement of		
		offshore construction works		
		(exact timings to be agreed		
		post-consent with the MMO		
		and its advisors).		
BIE-C-6	Sediment	In the event that the pre-	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft	To ensure sediments are
BIE-O-11	sampling	application Particle Size	DCO including Draft DML,	suitably characterised
DIL-O-II	sampung	Analysis (PSA) results have not	Part 3, Other Documents	prior to disposal of
		been approved by the MMO	to be Certified (the outline	dredged materials at
		prior to DCO award, no	marine monitoring plan).	sea.
		disposal activities associated	manne monitoring plan.	Jed.
		with Hornsea Four will take		
		place until the MMO have		
		provided this approval in		
		writing.		
Doot constru	ıction monitorir	-	<u> </u>	
POSL-CONSTIT				
	Potential	Where pre-construction	Schedule 11. Deemed	To record any potential
BIE-O-8 BIE-O-11	Potential habitats of	Where pre-construction surveys confirm the presence	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The	To record any potential changes to the habitats of



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
	importance (Section 41 of the NERC Act)	principle importance (Section 41 of the NERC Act) in the areas surveyed during the pre- construction surveys, targeted swath bathymetry and DDV survey of previously identified habitats of principle importance will be undertaken. The aims of which are to identify any changes to the location, extent and composition of any potential habitats of principle importance (Section 41 of the NERC Act) identified during pre-construction surveys.	Assets – Part 2, Condition 19(2)(a); Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2, Condition 19(2)a) (Post-construction monitoring)	of the NERC Act) identified during the pre-construction surveys arising from the construction of Hornsea Four.
BIE-O-13	Changes to benthic community structure as a result of foundation installation	Undertake monitoring of the benthic communities comprising grab samples in the form of a cruciform design at one of each GBS foundation type. The location of the monitored GBS would be identified following the post-construction geophysical survey and would be the location with the greatest level of scour for each foundation type. Analysis of sample data to determine potential changes to the benthic community structure from before and after construction.	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML, Part 3, Other Documents to be Certified (the outline marine monitoring plan).	To identify any potential changes to the benthic community structure from before and after construction in relation to GBS foundations.
BIE-O-10	Non-Native Invasive Species	Undertake monitoring of the benthic communities comprising grab samples and video around foundations. Analysis of sample data to determine species composition and the presence of any marine non-native species. NB: The foundation locations for this monitoring will be the foundations monitoring in relation to those selected for the 'Changes to benthic community structure as a	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft DCO including Draft DML, Part 3, Other Documents to be Certified (the outline marine monitoring plan).	To investigate the potential presence of marine non-native invasive species on GBS foundations.



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and	Method of securing	Monitoring rationale
		objectives	monitoring	
		result of foundation		
		installation' monitoring above.		
BIE-O-8	Drill	Should drilling be utilised to	Schedule 15 of C1.1 Draft	To monitor the
	mounds	install piled foundations, the	DCO including Draft DML,	persistence of drill
		Applicant will make best	Part 3, Other Documents	mounds on the seabed.
		endeavours to ensure no drill	to be Certified (the outline	
		mounds (i.e. sediment arising	marine monitoring plan).	
		from the drilling of Hornsea		
		Four foundations) persists		
		above 3m from the surrounding		
		seabed.		
		In the event that such drill		
		mounds greater than 3m are		
		found to persist, an		
		appropriate monitoring		
		campaign will be developed in		
		consultation with the MMO and		
		its statutory advisors.		

3.5 Fish and Shellfish Ecology

3.5.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.5.1.1 The characterisation of the baseline environment conducted to inform the EIA process, using both the results of surveys from the former Hornsea Zone and a desk-based literature review identified that the species assemblage of the Hornsea Four fish and shellfish ecology study area can be considered typical of this region of the southern North Sea (see Volume A5, Annex 3.1: Fish and Shellfish Ecology Technical Report).
- 3.5.1.2 The potential impacts on fish and shellfish receptors from all stages of Hornsea Four were assessed, and with relevant commitments and embedded mitigation considered, all resulting effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms) (see also Volume A2, Chapter 3: Fish and Shellfish Ecology).

3.5.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.5.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, following a request from Cefas, the Applicant has committed to sediment monitoring in relation to herring and sandeel spawning habitat, as detailed in Table 6.

Table 6: Outline monitoring – fish and shellfish ecology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru	ction monitorin	g		
FSE-C-2	Herring and	Targeted Particle Size Analysis (PSA)	This monitoring will be secured	To provide a
	Sandeel	survey within the export cable	by the final Marine Monitoring	baseline of the
		corridor along planned cable routes	Plan.	suitability of the
		and adjacent areas – focused on	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	sediment in these
		cable sections where it is thought	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		that flow tools may be required (e.g.	Generation Assets – Part 2,	areas for herring and
		sandwaves or more challenging	Condition 17;	sandeel spawning.
		ground conditions) to provide a	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	
		baseline of the sediment suitability	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	
		within the cable corridor for herring	Transmission Assets – Part 2,	
		and sandeel spawning (as defined by	Condition 17	
		Reach et al. (2013) and Latto et al.	(Pre-construction monitoring	
		(2013) for herring and sandeel,	and surveys)	
		respectively).		
Post-constr	ruction monitori	ing		
FSE-C-2	Herring and	Where flow tools have been used	This monitoring will be secured	To enable
FSE-O-18	Sandeel	along pre-surveyed areas, a targeted	by the final Marine Monitoring	identification of any
		PSA survey using the same survey	Plan.	areas where
		locations as for the pre-construction	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	construction
		survey to enable any changes in	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	activities have
		sediment suitability for spawning for	Generation Assets – Part 2,	altered the sedimen
		herring and sandeel to be	Condition 19;	characteristics and
		determined.	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	to allow an
			Licence Under The 2009 Act –	assessment of
			Transmission Assets – Part 2,	suitability for
			Condition 19	continued spawning
			(Post-construction monitoring)	activity.

3.6 Marine Mammals

3.6.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

- 3.6.1.1 Underwater noise from foundation piling and clearance of UXO has the potential to cause injury or disturbance to marine mammals. The most sensitive marine mammal species across the Hornsea Four marine mammals study area is considered to be harbour porpoise (Volume A2, Chapter 4: Marine Mammals). Appropriate commitments have been made as part of the project design to prevent significant impacts for injurious and lethal effects through the adoption of a Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol (MMMP Collo)) (see F2.5: Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol). Specifically in relation to the Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation (SAC) designated for harbour porpoise, the Applicant has also committed to the submission of a Site Integrity Plan (SIP) for approval prior to commencement, an outline of which has been provided with the DCO Application (F2.11: Outline Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation Site Integrity Plan) to ensure that the conclusion of no Adverse Effect on Integrity (no AEol) on the conservation objectives of the site, remains valid.
- 3.6.1.2 With the implementation of the plans described in the paragraphs above, and the commitments made in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register, all effects on marine mammals were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), taking into account the precautionary approach to assessment regarding any uncertainties.

3.6.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.6.2.1 Table 7 below provides information on the outline monitoring for marine mammals.



Table 7: Outline monitoring – marine mammals.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Constructio	n monitoring			
MM-C-1	All marine	Monitoring to validate the	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	To validate the
MM-C-3	mammals	underwater noise modelling that	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	underwater noise
		underpins the impact assessment.	Generation Assets – Part 2,	propagation
		Measurements of noise generated by	Condition 18)(2)(a); and	modelling and
		the installation of <u>first 4 foundations</u>	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	thereby ensure that
		of each driven or part-driven pile	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	the mitigation
		foundations to be constructed	Transmission Assets – Part 2,	measures as detailed
		collectively under the Generation and	Condition 18(2)(b).	within the Marine
		Transmission DMLs. The transects	(Construction monitoring)	Mammal Mitigation
		monitored in the survey will be		Protocol (in line with
		informed by the predictions for noise		F2.5 Outline Marine
		propagation within the ES, with		Mammal Mitigation
		transects planned to ensure		Protocol) are
		validation of the underwater noise		sufficient to ensure
		towards or over deeper water around		no risk of injury to
		the monitored turbines.		marine mammals.
	All marine	Monitoring by marine mammal	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	To provide visual
	mammals	observers prior to start of piling as	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	confirmation by a
		part of the Marine Mammal	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	trained marine
		Mitigation Protocol (F2.5 Outline	Condition 13(1)(g); and	mammal observer
		Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocol).	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine	that no marine
		Monitoring will be undertaken for at	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	mammals are
		least 30 minutes prior to the	Transmission Assets – Part 2 –	present within the
		commencement of the soft-start of	Condition 13(1)(g).	immediate vicinity of
		piling.	(Pre-construction plans and	the planned piling
			documentation)	activity.

- 3.6.2.2 In addition to the above monitoring proposals, through consultation it is recognised that additional monitoring may be required for marine mammals within the Southern North Sea SAC, depending on the further assessments provided during the development of the SIP for the Southern North Sea SAC, as detailed within F2.11: Outline Southern North Sea Special Area of Conservation Site Integrity Plan.
- 3.6.2.3 Finally, in addition data on the distribution, abundance and diversity of marine mammals will be provided as a result of the pre- and-post construction digital aerial surveys where these are undertaken as part of the ornithological monitoring within the Hornsea Four site and across an appropriate buffer area (see Table 8 below for further details).

3.7 Offshore Ornithology

3.7.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.7.1.1 A number of potential impacts on offshore ornithology have been identified, as detailed in Volume A2, Chapter 5: Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology, including those related to disturbance and displacement, and collision risk. It was concluded that, for all of the potential



impacts considered, resulting effects will be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms).

3.7.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.7.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that uncertainties exist, generically, within the ornithological assessment process relating to, for example, flight heights, demographics, apportioning of populations from SPAs, foraging ranges and avoidance rates². In order to address these uncertainties, precautionary approaches have been taken to assessments with a range of parameters often used (e.g. within the Collision Risk Modelling) to account for these uncertainties.
- 3.7.2.2 An Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan (OOMP) has been submitted as part of the DCO Application (F2.19: Outline Ornithological Monitoring Plan) which contains details of the proposed outline monitoring approach and associated justification, and as such, only a high-level summary of these proposals is presented in Table 8. It is important to note that the OOMP relates to EIA-related monitoring only. Any monitoring associated with a Regulation 64 derogation under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations will be considered separately.
- 3.7.2.3 The OOMP will be updated in the post-consent phase, prior to the commencement of offshore construction (in consultation with the MMO and the relevant statutory nature conservation body, Natural England) to ensure that the final OOMP submitted for approval remains appropriate to the final design of the scheme and the relevant uncertainties. The final OMMP will be based on the principles adopted in the OOMP, with the aim of addressing uncertainty, where it is possible and reasonable for those uncertainties to be monitored at Hornsea Four (it is, for the avoidance of doubt, not the intention of the DML condition or the outline proposals to provide an exhaustive monitoring exercise to address all of the uncertainties alluded to in paragraph 3.7.2).

Table 8: Outline monitoring – offshore ornithology.

Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
Pre-constru				
ORN-0-5	Guillemots,	Monitoring to determine the at-sea	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	Establish important
ORN-0-6	razorbills	distribution of the relevant species	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	sea areas relative to
	and puffins	prior to and during construction.	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	Hornsea Four for
		Digital aerial surveys will be	Condition 17(2)(b).	these species,
		undertaken between Hornsea Four	(Pre-construction monitoring	provide a baseline
		and the Flamborough and Filey Coast	and surveys)	for post-construction
		SPA during the extended breeding		monitoring and
		season. The survey parameters will		support data being
		be informed by a power analysis.		collected for other
				Hornsea projects.
Post-constru	uction monitorii	ng		
ORN-0-5	Guillemots,	Monitoring to determine the at-sea	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine	Establish important
ORN-0-6	razorbills	distribution of the relevant species	Licence Under The 2009 Act –	sea areas relative to
	and puffins	post-construction.	Generation Assets – Part 2 –	Hornsea Four for
			Condition 19(2)(c).	these species,

² It is also recognised that at project and strategic levels, there are and will be a number of studies underway aimed at addressing aspects of these generic uncertainties and that the outcomes of these studies and the resulting body of evidence will need to be taken into account when designing the final Hornsea Four OMMP.



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring	Monitoring rationale
		Digital aerial surveys will be	Post-construction monitoring	determine if
		undertaken between Hornsea Four	and surveys)	predicted effects on
		and the Flamborough and Filey Coast		these species were
		SPA during the extended breeding		valid and support
		season, for up to five years post-		data being collected
		construction.		for other Hornsea
				projects.
ORN-0-6	Gannets	Monitoring to determine avoidance of		Enable
	and	turbines by these species.		determination of the
	kittiwakes	Multi-sensor systems could be		suitability of the
		explored to determine suitability for		avoidance rates and
		use to validate avoidance rates used		flight heights
		within the assessment or		assumed within the
		determination of flight heights within		assessment and
		and around Hornsea Four.		whether the
				predicted effects on
				these species were
				valid.
N/A	Gannets,	A variety of surveys to monitoring		Enable
	kittiwakes	whether individuals at or around		determination of the
	and	Hornsea Four are attributable to the		suitability of
	guillemots,	Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA,		attribution rate of
	razorbills	age structure of individuals at the		each species
	and puffins	SPA, undertake colony counts at the		
		SPA, long-term ring-resighting and		
		productivity studies at the SPA.		

3.8 Commercial Fisheries

3.8.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.8.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on commercial fisheries have been assessed within Volume A2, Chapter 6: Commercial Fisheries and all resulting effects were concluded to be of negligible or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms).

3.8.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

- 3.8.2.1 In line with Co95 (Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register), a Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan will be developed in accordance with the principles set out in the Outline Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan submitted as part of the DCO Application (F2.9: Outline Fisheries Co-existence and Liaison Plan), prior to the commencement of offshore construction.
- 3.8.2.2 Given the lack of significant effects on commercial fisheries receptors attributable to Hornsea Four alone, and lack of any significant uncertainty, no monitoring has been proposed, noting that a post-construction survey will be undertaken to identify and where necessary, remove any construction related debris that may present a risk to fishing activity. In line with Part 2, Condition 11(10) of Schedules 11 and 12 of C1.1 Draft DCO indulging Draft DML, the Applicant has committed to following a Dropped Objects Procedure, requiring the removal of obstructions from the seabed, if reasonable to do so.



3.9 Shipping and Navigation

3.9.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.9.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on shipping and navigation have been considered and are described in Volume A2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation and Volume A5, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (NRA). All residual effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties identified.

3.9.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.9.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, the project will comply with the requirements of Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 (Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) 2021), which contains standard requirements for pre- and post-construction monitoring (Co99) to ensure that commitments (embedded mitigation) are deployed effectively and are managing navigation safety including that routeing patterns around the site have aligned with the predictions of the Navigational Risk Assessment. Table 9 provides information on the outline monitoring proposed for shipping and navigation.

Table 9: Outline monitoring – shipping and navigation.

Impact	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing
ID			monitoring
Pre-const	ruction monitor	ing and surveys	
SN-O-7	All shipping	High resolution swathe bathymetric surveys as described in	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine
	traffic	Table 4 will be undertaken to provide a baseline of bathymetry	Licence Under The 2009 Act
		in those areas within which construction activity will take place,	– Generation Assets – Part 2,
		and to inform future navigation risk assessments as part of the	Condition 17(2)(a); and
		cable specification and installation plan. All hydrographic	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine
		surveys will fulfil the requirements of the MCA's 'Hydrography	Licence Under The 2009 Act
		Guidelines for Offshore Developers' and 'Post-Construction	– Transmission Assets – Part
		Hydrographic Guidelines for Offshore Developers'.	2, Condition 17(2)(a).
			(Pre-construction monitoring
			and surveys)
Construc	tion monitoring		
SN-C-1	All shipping	Vessel traffic monitoring by use of Automatic Identification	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine
	traffic	System (AIS) data will be undertaken for the duration of the	Licence Under The 2009 Act
		construction period to monitor any changes in pre-construction	– Generation Assets – Part 2,
		vessel routes and to validate the predictions made in the	Condition 18(2)(b); and
		Application (including those of the NRA).	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine
			Licence Under The 2009 Act
			– Transmission Assets – Part
			2, Condition 18(2)(a).
			(Construction monitoring)
Post-con	struction monito	pring	
SN-O-7	All shipping	Post construction geophysical surveys (see Table 2) will be used	Schedule 11, Deemed Marine
	traffic	to ensure cables or indeed other subsea elements are not left	Licence Under The 2009 Act
		exposed and/or unmarked in order to, amongst other things;	– Generation Assets – Part 2
		reduce snagging risk to anchors and fishing gear. All	Condition 19(2)(b); and



Impact ID	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and objectives	Method of securing monitoring
		hydrographic surveys will fulfil the requirements of the MCA's 'Hydrography Guidelines for Offshore Developers' and 'Post-	Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act
		Construction Hydrographic Guidelines for Offshore Developers'.	– Transmission Assets – Part 2, Condition 19(2)b)
			(Post-construction monitoring)

3.10 Marine Archaeology

3.10.1 Conclusions of the Environmental Statement

3.10.1.1 The potential impacts of Hornsea Four on marine archaeology have been considered and are described in Volume A2, Chapter 9: Marine Archaeology. All residual effects were concluded to be of neutral or slight adverse significance (not significant in EIA terms), with no significant uncertainties identified.

3.10.2 In-principle Monitoring Proposals

3.10.2.1 Whilst the assessment did not predict any significant effects, it is recognised that there are commitments (as an embedded mitigation measure) to identify any marine archaeological features that require mitigation, and secondary monitoring post-construction to establish the effectiveness of Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs) implemented prior to construction (Co46 and Co140). The relevant commitments are outlined in Table 10, with further details provided in Volume A4, Annex 5.2: Commitments Register.



Table 10: Relevant marine archaeology commitments.

Commitment ID	Measure Proposed	How the measure will be secured
Co140	Tertiary: A Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation (WSI) will be developed in accordance with the Outline Marine WSI. The Marine WSI will include the requirement for Archaeological Exclusion Zones (AEZs) to be established to protect any known / identified marine archaeological receptors and the implementation of a Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (PAD) in accordance with 'Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries: Offshore Renewables Projects' (The Crown Estate 2014).	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) & 13(3); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) &13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)
Col66	Secondary: An offshore geophysical survey (including an Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) survey) will be undertaken prior to construction and will be subject to a full archaeological review in consultation with Historic England.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)
Co167	Secondary: An offshore geotechnical survey will be undertaken prior to construction, including a staged geoarchaeological assessment and analysis of geotechnical data inclusive of publication, in consultation with Historic England.	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Generation Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3); and DCO Schedule 12, Deemed Marine Licence Under The 2009 Act – Transmission Assets – Part 2 - Condition 13(2) and 13(3) (Marine Written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation)

3.10.2.2 The need for and scope of monitoring associated with the historic environment will be set out within the Marine Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI), including an appended Protocol for Archaeological Discoveries (PAD). An Outline Marine WSI (F2.4: Outline Marine Written Scheme of Investigation) has been submitted as part of the DCO application. The document will be monitored and updated throughout the post-consent process, prior to the commencement of offshore construction (in consultation with Historic England) to ensure that the WSI remains appropriate to the final design of the scheme and to incorporate the results of any relevant preconstruction monitoring surveys (such as, for example, high resolution swath bathymetric preconstruction surveys). Prior to construction, the Marine WSI will be finalised and submitted to the MMO for approval in consultation with Historic England.



Table 11: Outline monitoring — marine archaeology.

Impact	Receptor(s)	Monitoring approach and	Method of securing	Monitoring rationals
ID		objectives	monitoring	
	uction monitoring	T	T	
MA-O-7	Archaeological	Full coverage bathymetry surveys	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed	Ensure the
MA-O-8	features	(as described in Table 5) within	Marine Licence Under The	identification of any
		which construction activity will	2009 Act – Generation Assets	items of
		take place. Survey scopes and	– Part 2 - Condition 17(2)(a);	archaeological
		data will be reviewed by an	and	interest to facilitate
		accredited archaeologist.	DCO Schedule 12, Deemed	micrositing of
			Marine Licence Under The	infrastructure or
		Baseline identification of marine	2009 Act – Transmission	other mitigation
		archaeological features to inform	Assets – Part 2 - Condition	strategies. In
		the WSI will provide for the	17(2)(a).	compliance with the
		establishment of AEZs, where	(Pre-construction monitoring	WSI.
		required.	and surveys)	
Post-const	ruction monitoring			
MA-O-7	Archaeological	Following review of construction	DCO Schedule 11, Deemed	Enable confirmation
MA-O-8	features	activity, post-construction	Marine Licence Under The	of mitigation
		bathymetric monitoring (Table 2)	2009 Act – Generation Assets	measures being
		of AEZs will be undertaken to	– Part 2 - Condition 19(2)(b);	successful.
		ensure that there are no negative	and	
		impacts to AEZs from construction	DCO Schedule 12, Deemed	
		activities.	Marine Licence Under The	
			2009 Act – Transmission	
			Assets – Part 2 - Condition	
			19(2)(b).	
			(Post-construction monitoring)	



4 References

Cefas. 2012. Guidelines for data acquisition to support marine environmental assessments of offshore renewable energy projects. Cefas contract report: ME5403 – Module 15.

Glasson J, Therivel R and Chadwick A. 2011. Introduction to Environmental Impact Assessment. 4th edition. The Natural and Built Environment Series.

Irving R 2009 The identification of the main characteristics of stony reef habitats under the Habitats Directive. JNCC Rep No 432:44.

Latto, P. L. Reach, I.S. Alexander, D. Armstrong, S. Backstrom, J. Beagley E. Murphy, K. Piper, R. and Seiderer, L.J. 2013 Screening spatial interactions between marine aggregate application areas and sandeel habitat. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

MMO 2014a. Review of Post-Consent Offshore Wind Farm Monitoring Data Associated with Licence Conditions MMO Project No: 1031.

MMO 2014b. The Marine Management Organisation (MMO) Response to an Independent Review of Environmental Data Collected at UK and European Offshore Wind Farms and 22 Recommendations for Future Post-Consent Monitoring.

Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2019. National Planning Policy Framework [online].

Available at:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/8_10197/NPPF_Feb_2019_revised.pdf [Accessed: October 2020].

OSPAR. 2008. Guidance on Environmental Considerations for Offshore Wind Farm Development. Available

[Accessed October 2020].

Reach, I.S., Latto, P., Alexander, D., Armstrong, S., Backstrom, J., Beagley, E., Murphy, K., Piper, R. and Seiderer, L.J., 2013. Screening spatial interactions between marine aggregate application areas and atlantic herring potential spawning areas. A Method Statement produced for BMAPA.

Schultze, L. K. P., Merckelbach, L. M., Horstmann, J., Raasch, S., & Carpenter, J. R. (2020). Increased mixing and turbulence in the wake of offshore wind farm foundations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125, e2019JC015858.